IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH

MARVIN B. ELLIS, ADMINISTRATOR OF THE PHILEMON B. ELLIS ESTATE,

Plaintiff,

vs.

MATT JONES, et al.,

Defendants.

MEMORANDUM DECISION AND ORDER

Case No: 1:07-CV-122 DAK

District Judge Dale A. Kimball

Magistrate Judge David Nuffer

Marvin B. Ellis filed suit under 42 U.S.C. § 1983 purportedly on behalf of the Philemon B. Ellis Estate. However, it is well-settled that an artificial entity such as an estate may appear in the federal courts only through licensed counsel. Since there is no indication that Mr. Ellis is a licensed attorney, he may not represent the Philemon B. Ellis Estate in this case.

¹See docket no. 1, filed September 20, 2007.

²Rowland v. California Men's Colony, 506 U.S. 194, 201-02 (1993); Tal v. Hogan, 453 F.3d 1244, 1254 (10th Cir. 2006), cert. denied, 127 S. Ct. 1334 (2007); see also <u>Iannoccone v. Law</u>, 142 F.3d 553, 559 (2d Cir. 1998) (holding that non-attorney representative of estate may not proceed pro se in an action by the estate where estate has beneficiaries or creditors other than the representative); <u>Pridgen v. Andresen</u>, 113 F.3d 391, 393 (2d Cir. 1997) (same).

ORDER

The estate must be represented by a licensed attorney in order to proceed. Accordingly, it is hereby **ORDERED** that the estate must obtain licensed counsel, and counsel must enter an appearance within thirty days from the date of this order. Failure to do so will result in dismissal of the complaint.

October 18, 2007.

BY THE COURT:

David Nuffer

U.S. Magistrate Judge